Action plan for housing for Jhuggi dwellers in Metropolitan Cities

*302. SHRI ONWARD L. NONGTDU: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be
pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have chalked out any comprehensive action plan of housing for jhuggi dwellers in the Metropolitan cities of the country;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the details of total fund allocated for the housing projects in these cities; and

(d) the present status of the aforesaid projects and the achievements made in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI JAGMOHAN): (a) to (d) There is no Centrally Sponsored Scheme for providing housing to Jhuggi dwellers. Housing and Slum improvement are State subjects and States are expected to evolve their own schemes.

However, additional plan assistance is given to State Governments for Slum Improvement including shelter upgradation. The scheme known as National Slum Development Programme (NSDP) is operated by the State Governments as per guidelines laid down. The State Governments are expected to utilise not less than 10% of the funds under NSDP for construction andta upgradation of houses for the urban poor. Details indicating the release of funds, and expenditure reported by the Stctcs'Union Territories under the programme are given in the attached. State men t-I *(see* below)

A three pronged strategy to rehabilitate the Jhuggi-Jhopri (JJ) dwellers of Delhi has been adopted.

The Housing and Urban Development Corporation Ltd. (HUDCO) provides loan assistance for housing schemes predominantly for the poor. 57% of the urban units financed by HUDCO have gone to Economically Weaker Sections (EWS) of the population. In the beginning of the financial year, HUDCO makes

category-wise and State-wise loan allocations. State Governments are encouraged to avail HUDCO loans for EWS. The State-wise number of dwelling units sanctioned by HUDCO for the EWS in Urban areas is given in Statement-II.

Statement-I

National slum development programme

Release of funds and expenditure reported by the statesdJTs

(Rupees in lakhs)

SI. No.	States/UTs	Total ACA Allocated upto 1999-2000	Total ACA Released upto June 2000 (From 1996)	Expenditur - reported	% of Exp.	Unspent balance
1.	Andhra Pradesh	15642.00	11807.89	5362.79	45	6445.10
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	431.00	322.40	0.00	0	322.40
3.	Assam	1414.00	1042.80	199.28	19	843.52
4.	Bihar	12077.00	9487.30	4136.00	44	5351.30
5.	Goa	464.00	281.99	0.00	0	281.99
6.	Gujarat	9113.00	7609.10	3930.00	62	3679.10
7.	Haryana	2478.00	2014.10	776.00	39	1237.67
8.	Himachal Pradesh	470.00	439.65	350.00	80	89.65
9.	Jammu and Kashmir	2853.00	2190.49	0.00	0	2190.49
10.	Karnataka	9020.00	7548.31	4536.00	60	3012.31
11.	Kerala	4641.00	3789.68	0.00	0	3789.68
12.	Madhya Pradesh	9452.00	8025.79	3038.89	38	4986.90
13.	Maharashtra	23206.00	20550.58	0.00	0	20550.58
14.	Manipur	465.00	371.78	0.00	0	371.78
15.	Meghalaya	460.00	354.55	68.37	19	286.18
16.	Mizoram	454.00	347.99	137.00	39	210.99
17.	Nagaland	444.00	350.55	0.00	0	350.55
18.	Orissa	3003.00	2434.78	1108.96	46	1325.82
19.	Punjab	4501.00	3679.39	344.41	9	3334.98
20.	Rajasthan	6697.00	5485.62	2170.02	40	3315.60
21.	Sikkim	423.00	296.40	0.00	0	296.40
22.	Tamil Nadu	12234.00	10210.29	8796.40	86	1413.89

si. No.	StatesUTs	Total ACA Allocated upto 1999-2000	Total ACA Released upto June 2000 (From 1996)	expenditure reported	% of Exp.	Unspent balance
23.	Tripura	459.00	355.19	339.00	95	16.19
24.	Uttar Pradesh	19974.00	15635.35	10350,76	66	5284.59
25.	West Bengal	16597.00	13497.89	7488.41	55	6009.28
	Total States	156972.00	128129.66	53132.72	41	74996.94
Union Territories						
1.	A&N Islands	408.00	308.00	0.00	0	308.00
2.	Chandigarh	462.00	382.00	0.00	0	382,00
3.	D&N Haveli	401.00	301.00	100.00	33	201.00
4,	Daman and D1U	405.00	305.00	0.00	0	305.00
5.	Lakshadweep	403.00	303.00	. 0.00	0	303.00
6.	Pondi cherry	466.00	366.00	0.00	0	366.00
7.	NCT of Delhi	8573.00	7408.00	0.00	0	7408.00
	Total UTs	11118.00	9353.00	100.00	1	9253.00
	Grand Total	168090.00	137482.66	53232.72	39	84249.94

Statement-II

State-wise details of EWS/LIG dwelling units sanctioned, cumulatively by HUD CO in the urban areas.

As on 30.6.2000

SI.No. SlatoUT	EWS (Urban) New Units	EWS (Urban) Upgraded Units	Total EWS (Urban)	LiG	M1G	H1G	Total
1. A&N Islands	28	500	528	194	130	182	1034
2. Andhra Pd.	225506	172236	397742	297923	27512	14888	73806
3. Arunachal Pd.	0	0	0	141	176	0	317
4. Assam	30131	21349	51480	21920	5270	2336	81006
5. Bihar	8122	34378	42500	14435	4004	1671	62610
6. Chandigarh	6955	0	6955	9060	6650	3846	26511
7. D&N Haveli	0	45	45	0	42	0	87
8. Delhi	5829	0	5929	6759	1431	2186	16205
9. Goa	204	7555	7759	598	407	276	9040
10. Gujarat	106157	25842	131999	73850	49333	6829	26201
11. Himachal Pd.	426	1161	1587	8396	1771	4471	16225
12. Haryana	14995	19195	34190	28733	11870	7277	82070

SI.No.	StatcUT	EWS (Urban) New Units	EWS (Urban) Upgraded Units	Total EWS (Urban)	LIG	M1G	H1G	Total
1	J and K	2063	11998	14061	107	2045	1968	18181
1	Kerala	304658	110110	414768	191776	19294	8493	63433
1	Karnataka	259168	10080	269248	87044	19849	10020	38616
1	Meghalaya	5694	941	6635	4413	1640	645	13333
1	Maharashtra	47964	62905	110869	101910	45303	22630	28071
1	Manipur	1927	1947	3874	7279	2882	1084	15119
1	Madhya Pd.	26007	13843	39850	48138	31735	9056	12877
2	Mizoram	691	*5150*	5841	2441	1275	500	10057
2	Nagaland	3562	0	3562	14952	817	1074	20405
2	Orissa	25837	15283	41120	40601	10204	4817	96742
2	Pondicherry	1259	0	1259	3372	604	82	5317
2	Punjab	8231	15079	23310	14164	9361	11391	58226
2	Rajasthan	67498	8	67506	66179	42720	18068	19447
2	Sikkim	3400	3854	7254	3482	1275	328	12339
2	Tamil Nadu	97892	240858	338750	119093	77772	29633	56524
2	Tripura	2331	2209	4540	1156	305	158	6159
2	Utlar Pd.	146789	87983	234772	97014	44285	17586	39365
3	West Bengal	16793	205900	222693	6299	8059	7687	24473
	Total	1420117	1070409	2490526	1271429	428021		189182

Restructuring of export promotion councils

*303. SHRI NARENDRA MOHAN: Will the Minister of COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have made any study about the effectiveness of the various Export Promotion Councils and whether these Councils have been successful in meeting the objectives for which these were constituted;

(b) whether there is any proposal to *restructure these Export* Promotion Councils;

(c) whether Government are proposing to replace this network with an alternative mechanism in view of the changing scenario in commerce and foreign trade; and

(d) whether the ever increasing role of WTO and a holistic view of the foreign trade (not merely looking to exports only) will be kept in view in this exercise?